

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,884	BEATCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.

(2) Carol Roth.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2005

Time: 4:36pm and 12:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5-7, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 73, 75, 85-104, 106, 107 and 109-113

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to allow the examiner to cancel method claims 5-7, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 73, 75, 85-89, 93-104, 106, 107 and 109-113; agreed to allow the examiner to cancel pharmaceutical composition claims 90-92; agreed to the deletion of "Y is a direct bond" from claim 1; and agreed to the insertion of continuing data on the first page of the specification by examiner's amendment.